Some lessons of the transboundary assessment of river basins climate change vulnerability and the development of a joint adaptation strategy: the Dniester River case study

Некоторые уроки трансграничной оценки уязвимости к изменению климата речного бассейна и выработка единой стратегии адаптации на примере Днестра



Moldova

Moscow, 18-19 May, 2017

The Dniester River: Geographical location



The river length – about 1350 km, transboundary part – 200 km; basin area – 72,100 km²

To the history of the research

The reported results were received in 2010-2013 in the framework of the joint Moldova-Ukraine project:

"Reducing vulnerability to extreme floods and climate change in the Dniester River Basin"

This project was one of pilot projects in the well-known program on adaptation to climate change in transboundary basins, realized under the UNECE Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes (Water Convention).

Main goals of the project:

- **1.** To mitigate climate change risks in the Dniester basin, especially from floods, by reducing the vulnerability to them in both countries
- 2. To expand and strengthen joint management of the Dniester's water resources in confronting the current and expected climatic impacts on its watershed

The main challenges in project tasks resolving

- Uncertainties in estimations of likely changes in future climate of the basin and in the river hydrology, primarily in the transboundary aspect, caused by differences in national approaches to the assessments
- Lack of a clear understanding of the concept of vulnerability to climate change in the river basin
- Management of the Dniester reservoirs in the interests of individual water users, primary to hydropower ones, that leads to serious damages in the downstream natural and social systems

Example 1. Differences in the sources and scenarios used for regional climate projections before the project

| Ukr | aine | Moldova | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| GCM | Emissions | GCM | Emissions | | |
| BCCR-BCM2.0 | | CGCM2 | | | |
| NCAR-CCSM3 | | CSIRO Mk2 | | | |
| CGCM3.1 (T47) | | HadCM3 | | | |
| CGCM3.1 (T63) | <u>SRES</u> | ECHAM4 | SRES | | |
| ECHAM5/MPI-OM | A1B | GFDL R-30 | | | |
| GFDL-CM2.1 | AID | CCSR-NIES | <i>A2</i> | | |
| MIROC3.2 (hires) | A2 | CGCM2 | | | |
| MIROC3.2 (medres) | 2 L ~ | | B 2 | | |
| MRI-CGCM2.3.2 | B1 | | | | |
| UKMO-HadGEM1 | | | | | |
| BCCR-BCM2.0 | | | | | |

Example 2: Ensemble mean projections of change in key climatic variables

| Moldova | | | | | Ukraine | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Years | Temperature, °C | | Precipitation, mm | | Years | Tempe °(| rature, C | Precipi % | itation, % |
| | A2 | <i>B2</i> | A2 | <i>B2</i> | | A2 | <i>B1</i> | A2 | <i>B1</i> |
| Bas | seline p | eriod : | 1961-1 | 990 | Baseline period: 1961-1990 | | | | 990 |
| | | | | | 2010 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 2.0 |
| 2020 | 1.7 | 2.0 | -9 | -17 | 2020 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| | | | | | 2030 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| | | | | | 2040 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | -0.3 |
| 2050 | 3.4 | 3.2 | -38 | -11 | 2050 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| | | | | | 2060 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 |


Example 3: Projections of relative change of the Dniester streamflow

| | Ukraine | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| Scenarios of emission | Time horizon | Change, % | <i>General</i> <i>streamflow</i> |
| | 2020s | -10 | <i>will change:</i> by 5-7% in the |
| SRES A2 | 2050s | -22.8 | North; by 15- 30% in the |
| | 2080s | -36.5 | South of the |
| SRES B2 | 2020s | -12.9 | basin |
| | 2050s | -18.4 | |
| | 2080s | -24.5 | |

The first step in the project activities was:

To transit to the transboundary approach in the development of scenarios of likely climate change and the Dniester streamflow


The breakdown of the Dniester Basin into individual reaches of climate change modeling




Projections of air temperature *(above)* and precipitation *(below)* change in the Dniester basin in 2021-2050 compared to 1971-2000 *(REMO RCM)*

| | Basin as a whole | Upper | Middle | Lower |
|---------|------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Year as | +1,1℃ | +1,0°C | +1,1°C | +1,2°C |
| a whole | +0,2% | +1,01,8% | -0,9% | -2,81,7% |
| Winter | +1,2°C | +1,1℃ | +1,2℃ | +1,2℃ |
| | +9% | +10% | +6…+7% | +8+11% |
| Spring | +0,7°C | +0,7℃ | +0,7℃ | +0,8°C |
| | -0,6% | +01,5% | -1% | -3% |
| Summer | +1,0°C | +1,0°C | +1,0℃ | +1,2℃ |
| | -1,0% | -1% | -10,2% | -74% |
| Autumn | +1,3°C | +1,3℃ | +1,3℃ | +1,4℃ |
| | -5,0% | -2,81,5% | -107% | -116% |

Spatial distribution of likely change in air temperature and precipitation in 2021-2050 vs. 1981-2010




Dniester mean annual streamflow in 1971-2000 (A) and expected changes of its mean (B), maximal (C) and minimum (D) values by the middle of the century



Projected changes in mean flood intensity in the Dniester basin


2021-2050 vs. 1971-2000



Transboundary approach to the floods risk assessment


- Engineering modelling
- Field works on the assessment of the state of flood protection


Engineering studies on the Dniester's reach Mogilev-Podolsky – Attacy (16 km)



Hydro acoustics of the river channel

GIS-based cross-sections of the river channel






Spatial analysis of flooding zones

| Flooded objects | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Living sector | 19% | | | | |
| Industry sector | 28 % | | | | |
| Subborns | 14% | | | | |

GIS mapping of the zones of 1% flooding for current and expected streamflow



Results of modeling

| | Удаление от Дубос- | Берег | Ширина зоны 1 м затопления позади дамбы за весь период наводнения, км | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Створ | сарской | Днестра | Сценарий наводнения | | | | | | |
| | ГЭС, км | | 2008 г | 1%-е историче- ского климата | 1%-е ожидае- мого климата | | | | |
| | 128 | | 7.14 | 15.59 | 19.89 | | | | |
| Бычок-Парканы | 129 | | 11.39 | 22.02 | 27.06 | | | | |
| - | 130 | Левый | 6.55 | 14.54 | 18.63 | | | | |
| | 131 | берег | 1.19 | 4.63 | 6.83 | | | | |
| | 132 | | | 0.22 | 0.77 | | | | |
| Варница-порт | 133 | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | 134 | | | 0.00 | 0.02 | | | | |
| | 135 | | 0.05 | 1.22 | 2.35 | | | | |
| | 136 | Правый | 1.71 | 5.76 | 8.19 | | | | |
| Бендеры 1 | 137 | берег | 6.08 | 13.68 | 17.59 | | | | |
| | 138 | | 3.52 | 9.29 | 12.45 | | | | |
| | 139 | | 0.62 | 3.14 | 4.91 | | | | |

1-D modeling of extreme floods on the Lower Dniester

Areas of the maximum risk of flooding



Examples of flood areas description

Участок 5 Вадул-луй-Водэ

Участок расположен в 23 км ниже Дубоссарского водохранилища. Ожидаемая зона затопления расположена на правом берегу Днестра, охватывая 5 населенных пунктов – Кошерница, Вадул-луй Водэ, Бэлэбэнешть, Мэлэешть, Коржова. Общая длина затопляемой зоны при Сценарии 1 составляет 18 км, максимальная ширина – 3 км. По Сценарию 2 размеры увеличиваются на 20%.





Зона отдыха Ваду-луй-Водэ, исключающая защиту в виде заградительных дамб. Защита от наводнений и паводков обеспечивается адаптационными мероприятиями.



Топографическая карта масштаба 1:50 000



Высота подъема воды (светлая полоса) при наводнении 2008 г на удалении 200 м от меженного уреза воды



Човые коттеджи в зоне отдыха, построенные на сваях с учетом возможного наводнения (высота над уровнем земли 1,5-2,м)



Зона 1%-го наволнения ожидаемого климата

Участок 11 Рэскэець – Тудора

Участок расположен в 210 км ниже Дубоссарского водохранилища. Ожидаемая зона затопления расположена на правом берегу Днестра, охватывая 6 населенных пунктов – Рэскэець, Пуркарь, Олэнешть, Крокмаз, Тудора, Паланка. Общая длина затапливаемой зоны при Сценарии 1 составляет 87 км, максимальная ширина – 4 км. Прорыв левобережной дамбы в 2008 г привел к затоплению 15 тыс. га с.-х. угодий Украины. По Сценарию 2 размеры увеличиваются на 10 % и зона затопления соединится с Кицканской.



Нарашивание дамбы мешками с песком в 2008 г., т.к. она «расплылась» (не покрыта уплотняющим материалом). Необходим капитальный ремонт и наращивание до Олонешты.

ма в 2008 г.





Приусадебный участок в паводкоопасной зоне. Экономически целесообразен вынос строений из зоны затопления и освобождение земель для заливных лугов и пастбищ



Космический снимок

текущего климата

Зона 1%-го наводнения ожидаемого климата

Assessment of the Dniester basin general vulnerability to climate change

IPCC's new conception of vulnerability


Vulnerability to climate change is *"The propensity or predisposition of a system to be adversely affected". Here,* predisposition is an internal characteristic of a person or system as well as the situation, in which they are located, to be affected.


Principal difference:

• **the former definition:** the main causes of vulnerability are physical factors and their effects expressed as an exposure; the social context is expressed by sensitivity and adaptive potential.

•*new definition:* strengthening of a social component, independent from physical events. Different levels of vulnerability lead to different levels of damage in similar conditions of exposure to impacts.

Dniester basin vulnerability to climate change as a function of likely impacts





Vulnerability to climate change of Moldova and Ukraine on the pan-European background

Practical conclusions:

- Avoiding a purely physical explanation of the climate risks formation and the attribution of their development and consequences
- Identification of social factors as an independent object of research.


Based on this conception a set of indicators for vulnerability assessment has been developed and realized for the Moldavian part of the Dniester basin Р. Коробов, И. Тромбицкий, Г. Сыродоев, А. Андреев

Уязвимость к изменению климата

Молдавская часть бассейна Днестра



Realization of the new approach for the Moldavian part of the Dniester Basin



Climatic division of the study area for downscaling of Regional Climatic Models

| Sector | Indicator | Functional relationships | Individual and aver weights | | | verage | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|------|------|--------|------|--|--|
| Exposure | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate | Temperature change in a war | Temperature† exposure† | 0. | 25 | | .5 | | | |
| Ciinate | Humidity index in a warm se | 235011 | Humidity index↓ exposure↑ | 0. | 25 | • | | | |
| | Temperature change in a colo | d season | Temperature† exposure↓ | | | 0 | 5 | | |
| | | Sensit | ivity | | | | | | |
| | | siographic | al sensitivity | | | | | | |
| | Arable land | | Area [†] sensitivity [†] | 2.0 | | | | | |
| | Perennial plants | | | 1.0 | | | | | |
| Land use (%) | Grasslands | | Area† sensitivity] | 1.5 | 0.25 | 0.33 | | | |
| | Forests | | | 2.0 | 1 | | | | |
| | Surface water | | | 2.0 | | | -0.5 | | |
| | Soil quality | | Quality1 sensitivity1 | | 0.25 | | | | |
| Soils | | ce erosion | | | 0.25 | 0.33 | | | |
| | DTOCESSES RAVID | | Area [†] sensitivity [†] | 1.0 | 0.25 | | | | |
| | Lands | lides | | 2.0 | | | | | |
| Construction | Built-up areas | | | | | 0.33 | | | |
| | | | ic sensitivity | | | | | | |
| | Population density (no. of inl sq. km) | Density† sensitivity† | | 0.20 | | | | | |
| | Urban population (%) | | | 0.20 | 0.25 | | | | |
| Population | Women (%) | Share† sensitivity† | | 0.20 | | | | | |
| | Natural growth | Growth1 sensitivity1 | | 0.20 | | | | | |
| | Demographic load | | Load [†] sensitivity [†] | | 0.20 | | | | |
| | Ratio of unprofitable vs. profitable | | | | | | | | |
| | enterprises | Ratio† sensitivity† | | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.5 | | | |
| | Annual average yield of milk | | | 0.17 | | | | | |
| Agriculture | potato | | | 0.17 | | | | | |
| | traget | | Yield⊥ sensitivity† | | 0.17 | | | | |
| | Yields fruits | | • • | | 0.17 | | | | |
| | cereal | ls | | | 0.17 | 1 | | | |
| Labor force | Unemployment rate | | | | | 0.25 | | | |
| Colorado | Total crime rate | | Rate [†] sensitivity [†] | 0.5 | | 0.25 | | | |
| Crime rate | Grave crimes | | | 0.5 | | 0.25 | | | |
| | A | daptive | capacity | | | | | | |
| | Road density | | Density [†] capacity [†] | | 0.20 | | | | |
| | Share of industrial workers | | Share† capacity† | | 0.20 | | | | |
| Economics | Mobility of employees | | Mobility [†] capacity [†] | | 0.20 | 0.25 | | | |
| | Investments in capital asset | | Investments† capacity† | | 0.20 | | | | |
| | Average monthly wage | | Wage [†] capacity [†] | | 0.20 | | | | |
| | Milk production | | Des dustion * consult * | | 0.33 | | | | |
| Agriculture | Slaughter of cattle and poult | ry . | Production [†] capacity [†] | | 0.33 | 0.25 | | | |
| | Use of mineral fertilizers (pe | Optimal use† capacity† | | 0.33 | | 0.5 | | | |
| | No. of physicians per 10 thou | | | | 0.33 | | | | |
| | No. of middle medical staff p | per. 10 thou. | | | 0.33 | 0.25 | | | |
| Medical provision | | | Number† capacity† | | 0.00 | | | | |
| | No. of beds in hospitals per 1 | l0 thou. | | | 0.33 | | | | |
| | inhabitants | | | | | | | | |
| Housing | Building of new houses | | Housing [†] capacity [†] | | 0.5 | 0.25 | | | |
| | Housing provision rate | including cupacity | | 0.5 | 0.20 | | | | |

Evaluation scheme of the assessment of vulnerability to climate change

Ranks of Moldova's administrative-territorial units in the decreasing order of their sensitivity to climate change

| | | | | | | S | ensitivit | ty | | | |
|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|----|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|
| No. | ATU | | | Physiog | raphical | | | So | cial-econ | omic | Total rank |
| 140. | Alto | Inc | dicator's | rank ^a | Intermediate rank | | Indica | tor's ran | ik ^b | Intermediate rank | |
| | | al | a2 | a3 | Intermediate fank | b1 | b2 | b3 | b4 | Intermediate Fank | |
| (1) | Anenii Noi | 15 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| (2) | Bălți | 14 | 21 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| (3) | Călărași | 20 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 16 |
| (4) | Căușeni | 8 | 12 | 20 | 16 | 22 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 18 |
| (5) | Chișinău | 18 | 18 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| (6) | Criuleni | 4 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| (7) | Dondușeni | 3 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 21 | 4 | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| (8) | Drochia | 1 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 3 |
| (9) | Dubăsari | 9 | 20 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 20 |
| (10) | Fălești | 2 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 20 | 16 | 2 |
| (11) | Florești | 17 | 8 | 18 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 19 | 17 |
| (12) | Ialoveni | 22 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| (13) | Ocnița | 11 | 22 | 17 | 22 | 7 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 11 |
| (14) | Orhei | 21 | 6 | 16 | 18 | 5 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 14 |
| (15) | Rezina | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 15 | 8 |
| (16) | Rișcani | 6 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 6 | 16 | 14 | 4 |
| (17) | Sîngerei | 19 | 3 | 14 | 13 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 22 | 19 |
| (18) | Soroca | 7 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| (19) | Strășeni | 13 | 7 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 20 | 4 | 12 | 12 |
| (20) | Şoldănești | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 13 |
| (21) | ŞtefanVodă | 10 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 5 | 21 | 21 |
| (22) | Telenești | 16 | 5 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 22 |


al: land use; a2: soil quality; a3: built-up area; b1: population; b2: agriculture; b3: unemployment; b4: crime rate.

Ranks of Moldova's administrative-territorial units by their adaptive capacity and general vulnerability to climate change

| ATU | | Indica | ator's rank | | Rank | ATU | S | AC | Σ | Rank |
|------------|----|--------|-------------|----|--------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| AIU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Nallik | Anenii Noi | 7 | 13 | 20 | 7 |
| Anenii Noi | 8 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 9 | Bălți | 10 | 12 | 22 | 10 |
| Bălți | 3 | 22 | 1 | 14 | 11 | Călărași | 16 | 5 | 21 | 9 |
| Călărași | 6 | 18 | 21 | 16 | 18 | Căușeni | 18 | 8 | 26 | 16 |
| Căușeni | 18 | 20 | 10 | 9 | 15 | Chișinău | 5 | 22 | 27 | 17 |
| Chișinău | 1 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | Criuleni | 6 | 11 | 17 | 2 |
| Criuleni | 17 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 12 | Dondușeni | 15 | 14 | 29 | 19 |
| Dondușeni | 11 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 10 | Drochia | 3 | 16 | 19 | 5 |
| Drochia | 16 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 | Dubăsari | 20 | 1 | 21 | 8 |
| Dubăsari | 14 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 22 | Fälești | 2 | 17 | 19 | 6 |
| Fälești | 15 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 6 | Florești | 17 | 18 | 35 | 22 |
| Florești | 7 | 4 | 14 | 11 | 7 | Ialoveni | 9 | 9 | 18 | 4 |
| Ialoveni | 4 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 14 | Ocnița | 11 | 20 | 31 | 21 |
| Ocnița | 12 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | Orhei | 14 | 15 | 29 | 20 |
| Orhei | 9 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 8 | Rezina | 8 | 6 | 14 | 1 |
| Rezina | 5 | 17 | 16 | 22 | 17 | Rîşcani | 4 | 19 | 23 | 14 |
| Rîşcani | 13 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | Singerei | 19 | 3 | 22 | 13 |
| Singerei | 20 | 21 | 19 | 12 | 20 | Soroca | 1 | 21 | 22 | 11 |
| Soroca | 2 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 3 | Sträșeni | 12 | 10 | 22 | 12 |
| Strășeni | 10 | 11 | 18 | 6 | 13 | Şoldăneşti | 13 | 4 | 17 | 3 |
| Şoldăneşti | 22 | 14 | 12 | 19 | 19 | ŞtefanVodă | 21 | 7 | 28 | 18 |
| ŞtefanVodă | 19 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 16 | Telenești | 22 | 2 | 24 | 15 |
| Telenești | 21 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 21 | S: rank of decreast | ing sensitivity; | AC: rank of inc | reasing adaptiv | e capacity. |

1: economics; 2: agriculture; 3: medical provision; 4: housing.

Mapping vulnerability to climate change of the Moldavian part of the Dniester Basin



Adaptation of the Dniester basin to climate change

This activity has been realized within the context of the project *Climate Change and Security in Eastern Europe, Central Asia and the Southern Caucasus*' as its component *Climate Change and Security in the Dniester River Basin'.* It was also a part of the UNECE programme of pilot projects on adaptation to climate change in transboundary basins.

The project was carried out under *the Environment and Security Initiative (ENVSEC)*, with financial support from the Austrian Development Cooperation and the European Union's Instrument for Stability (IfS).

Strategic Framework for Adaptation to Climate Change in the Dniester River Basin



The goal of developing the Strategic Framework

To propose adaptation actions targeted at:

- to reduce the climate change vulnerability of the Dniester Basin's natural environment, economy and population;
- to promote adaptation to climate change at the basin level, with wide participation of its all institutions;
- to involve in the implementation of adaptation measures the basin-wide coordination and cooperation mechanisms.

Contents of "Strategic framework for adaptation to climate change in the Dniester River Basin"

10→ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

12 → 01. INTRODUCTION

14→ 02. ENVIRONMENT OF THE DNIESTER BASIN: STATUS, PROBLEMS, OUTLOOK

- 15 → Geography and natural environment
- 17 → Population, economy and politics
- 18 → Ecological status and problems

20 → 03. CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE REGION AND BASIN: TRENDS AND UNCERTAINTY

- 20→ Global and regional context
- 23 → Future climate in the Dniester basin
- 27 → Causes and consequences of uncertainty

28 → 04. IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON THE WATER FLOW, NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, ECONOMY AND POPULATION IN THE DNIESTER BASIN

- 28 → Vulnerable resources and sectors of the economy
- 31 → Problems related to the aquatic environment
- 40 → Climate change "hotspots" in the Dniester basin

42 → 05. POTENTIAL FOR ADAPTATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE DNIESTER BASIN


- 42 → Socioeconomic and institutional conditions
- 47 → Regulating mechanisms at the basin level
- 48 → International and basin-wide cooperation institutions

52→ 06. PRIORITIES AND ACTIONS FOR CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION IN THE DNIESTER BASIN

- 52 → Principles of climate change adaptation in the Dniester basin
- 53 → Strategic Framework for Adaptation: overview of proposed measures
- 58 → Economic aspects of adaptation in the basin

60 → 07. WHERE TO BEGIN

- 60 → Institutional mechanisms
- 63 → Concrete steps
- 65 → SOURCES USED
- 67 → NOTES




Proposed adaptation measures

| Risk forecasting and analysis measures | Risk prevention and reduction measures | Remediation measures | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reduction in losses from extreme fl | ooding | | | | |
| improved monitoring and forecasting of flow and information sharing inventory of flood protection infrastructure | updating and observance of rules for the operation of the Dniester's system of reservoirs updating of flood protection plans | providing the public and local authorities with timely information about the flood risk updating and implementation of emergency response plans | | | |
| analysis and mapping of flood risk | restoration and optimization of the system of flood protection structures and culverts | insurance of risks (including insurance provided with government support) | | | |
| Reduction in losses from water scar | city | | | | |
| analysis of the water balance in the basin improved monitoring | updating and observance of rules for the operation of the Dniester's system of reservoirs | modernization of irrigation systems diversification and modernization of water supply systems for population centres | | | |
| and forecasting of flow and information sharing | protection and restoration of forests and shoreline vegetation | insurance of risks (including insurance provided with government support) | | | |
| assessment and monitoring of the condition of forests | optimization of the regulation of flow at the local level | | | | |
| | reduction in water consumption and losses | | | | |
| Reduction in losses from a deterior | ation in water quality | | | | |
| improved monitoring and forecasting of flow and information | improvement of wastewater treatment systems | improvement of water treatment and distribution systems | | | |
| sharing improved monitoring of water quality | protection and regulation of the use of catchment basins and water protection zones | diversification and modernization of water supply systems for population centres | | | |
| Support for and restoration of aqua | tic and wetland ecosystems and spec | ies | | | |
| analysis of ecosystem services at the basin level | updating and observance of rules for the operation of the Dniester's system of reservoirs | restoration of shoreline forests, meadows and wetlands | | | |
| Improved monitoring of ecosystems and biological resources and transboundary information sharing | reservoirs regulation of activities within floodplains and wetlands | restoration of habitats, spawning grounds and fish stocks | | | |
| | expansion and strengthening of the network of protected areas and ecological corridors | | | | |
| | Combating poaching and invasive species | | | | |
| General measures for adaptation ar | nd development of cooperation in the | e basin | | | |
| systematic analysis and forecasting of climate change and its impacts in the Dniester basin | consideration of adaptation needs in long-term Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) plans | | | | |
| Dhiester Dasin | providing information about climate change problems in the basin inclusion of adaptation needs in socioeconomic development plans for sectors and territories | | | | |


Mechanisms for implementation



Classification of adaptation measures by target area, category and approximate cost



Approximate cost of basin-focused adaptation



Plan of the Strategic Framework implementation



From climate adaptation to climate security

